

Mission

The mission of the Tenth District Court of Appeals of Ohio is to provide appellate review and original action determinations for the public and legal community in order to objectively and fairly administer justice in a timely and efficient manner.

Strategic Focus

Primary Initiative: Case Management - The Court of Appeals has jurisdiction to review and affirm, modify, or reverse judgments or final orders of trial courts within the district and several state administrative agencies. In addition, the Court has original action jurisdiction over the extraordinary writs of Mandamus, Habeas Corpus, Procedendo, Prohibition, and Quo Warranto.

Primary Issue: Caseload - The Court of Appeals continues to monitor its caseload to ensure the efficient use of county resources.


Performance Spotlight

Measure: Number of cases filed

Program: Case Review

About this measure		Why it is important	
The Court of Appeals provides presiding judge reports to the Supreme Court of Ohio quantifying case information such as cases filed, terminated, and pending among other data points. The total number of cases filed includes various case types including criminal and civil cases.		Tracking case data allows the court system to examine operations and ensure justice is administered in an objective, fair, and timely manner. It is in the public's best interest that the Court maintain a reasonable caseload to ensure timely case resolution.	
What is being done			
The Court of Appeals completes presiding judge reports per the Supreme Court of Ohio's Rules of Superintendence. These reports allow Ohio's court system to analyze performance and identify opportunities for improvement. The Court of Appeals case reporting not only informs the court's internal operations but also contributes to Ohio's overall efforts to measure and analyze court system performance.			
2020 Actual	2021 Budget	2021 Projected	2022 Budget
640	1,100	700	800

Budget Summary – Revenues



Primary Revenue Sources by Fund Type

Fund Type	Fund Name (Number)	Primary Revenue Sources
General	• General Fund (1000)	• Reimbursements from the State Public Defender's Office for appointed counsel expenditures
Special	None	N/A
Debt	None	N/A
Capital	None	N/A
Enterprise /Internal	None	N/A

Comparison: 2021 Approved to 2021 Projection

	2021 Approved	2021 Projection	Variance	
			\$	%
General Fund	\$98,000	\$75,000	(\$23,000)	-23.5%
Non-General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
Total	\$98,000	\$75,000	(\$23,000)	-23.5%

The \$23,000 decrease from the 2021 Approved Budget to the 2021 Projection is primarily attributed to:

- A decrease in appointed counsel reimbursements due to a lighter docket resulting from COVID-19.


Comparison: 2021 Approved to 2022 Approved

	2021 Approved	2022 Approved	Variance	
			\$	%
General Fund	\$98,000	\$206,500	\$108,500	110.7%
Non-General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
Total	\$98,000	\$206,500	\$108,500	110.7%


The \$108,500 increase from the 2021 Approved Budget to the 2022 Approved Budget is primarily attributed to:

- An increase in appointed counsel reimbursements in 2022. Reimbursements are budgeted at 90% of appointed counsel expenses.

**2022 Approved Budget
Revenues by Category**



Budget Summary – Expenditures



Significant items in the 2022 Approved Budget

Object Code	Budgeted Amount	% of Budget
APPOINTED COUNSEL - LEGAL FEES	\$225,200	60.1%
PUBLICATIONS & SUBSCRIPTIONS	\$61,000	16.3%
MEMBERSHIPS	\$23,500	6.3%
OFFICE MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	\$22,000	5.9%
MAINTENANCE & REPAIR AGREEMNT	\$9,950	2.7%
IT SOFTWARE SUBSCRIPTION AND MAINTENANCE	\$7,756	2.1%
IT COMPUTER STATIONS LESS THAN \$5,000	\$4,992	1.3%
LIABILITY/OTHER INSURANCE	\$4,400	1.2%
VOICE MAIL SERVICES	\$3,700	1.0%
OTHER	\$12,125	3.2%
TOTAL	\$374,623	100.0%

Comparison: 2021 Approved to 2021 Projection

	2021 Approved	2021 Projection	Variance	
			\$	%
General Fund	\$273,000	\$234,850	(\$38,150)	-14.0%
Non-General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
Total	\$273,000	\$234,850	(\$38,150)	-14.0%

The \$38,150 decrease from the 2021 Approved Budget to the 2021 Projection is primarily attributed to:

- A decrease in appointed counsel expenditures due to a lighter docket resulting from COVID-19.


Comparison: 2021 Approved to 2022 Approved

	2021 Approved	2022 Approved	Variance	
			\$	%
General Fund	\$273,000	\$374,623	\$101,623	37.2%
Non-General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
Total	\$273,000	\$374,623	\$101,623	37.2%

The \$101,623 increase from the 2021 Approved Budget to the 2022 Approved Budget is primarily attributed to:

- An increase in appointed counsel expenses due to implementing the rates recommended by the State Public Defender's Office, as well as software purchases necessary for court operations and computers purchased for annual technology refresh.

**2022 Approved Budget
Expenditures by Category**



Budget Summary – FTEs

	2021 Budget	2022 Approved	Approved Budget as % of Total FTEs
General Fund	0.00	0.00	N/A
Non-General Fund	0.00	0.00	N/A
Total Agency FTEs	0.00	0.00	N/A

The Salaries & Wages for the employees of the Court of Appeals are paid by the State of Ohio. Beginning July 2013, the health care expenses are also paid by the State of Ohio.

Vacancy Credit

The vacancy credit process is designed to more accurately reflect the true expenditures for Personal Services and Fringe Benefits within the agency budget request. The calculations for the budget process begin with funding all approved positions for the entire year. Vacancy credits take into account that not all approved positions will be filled for the entire year. The methodology historically used by OMB to estimate an agency's vacancy credit utilizes a four-year history of unused personnel budgets to estimate an appropriate vacancy credit. However, vacancy credits may vary from the four-year average due to staffing trends or the number of positions supported within a fund.

The court has no expenditures for Salaries & Wages or Fringe Benefits. As such, a vacancy credit is not applicable.

Case Review

Program Purpose:

The purpose of the Case Review Program is to provide administrative, information technology, case management and dispute resolution services and opinions to the public and legal community so they can receive resolution of appeals and original actions in a timely, efficient and just manner.

ORC Reference Mandating this Program:

Chapter 2505

Program Services:

Case assignment to panel, Oral arguments to panel or submission on briefs, Draft decisions, Court decisions, File reviews, Record reviews, Journal entries of dismissal, Motion reviews, Motion research, Journal entries on motions, Judicial motion reviews, Motion assignments, Motion/merits orders, Decisions/Opinions/Memo Decisions/Journal entries, Brief reviews for rule compliance, Brief letters, Brief circulations (deliveries) to judges, Judges’ conferences, Journal entries of judgments, Post-judgment motion rulings, Post-judgment case breakdowns, Bailiff services, Case screenings, Reference Order (entry mailed to everyone), Briefing schedules, Magistrate Orders on motions, Magistrate Decisions on motions, Hearing schedules (submit and oral argument), Hearings to magistrates, Notices, Magistrate’s conferences with attorneys, Advice to judges.

Core Principle:

Provide Community Safety, Security & Effective Justice

Linkage to Core Principle:

The Case Review Program is linked to the core principle of “Provide Community Safety, Security & Effective Justice” by providing appellate review and original action determinations for the public and legal community in order to objectively and fairly administer justice in a time and efficient manner and to maintain the integrity of the judicial system.

Program Budget Overview

	2021 Approved	2022 Approved	Variance	
			\$	%
Materials & Services	\$273,000	\$374,623	\$101,623	37.2%
Total Expenditures	\$273,000	\$374,623	\$101,623	37.2%